Speed Post / REGISTERED / AD

TELEGRAM: CEGCANAL Website: www.cestatnew.gov.in

CUSTOMS, EXCISE & SERVICE TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, WEST BLOCK NO.2, R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI-110066 <u>LARGER MATTER NOTICE</u>

Appeal No.: E/101/2011-EX[SM]

1.

2.

Name of the Appellant

MANGLAM CEMENT

LTD.,

ADITYA NAGAR,

MORAK, DISTT. KOTA.

Name of the Respondent

C.C.E. JAIPUR I

N.C.R.Building, Statue Circle, "C" Scheme, jaipur

302005.

The issue involved in the matter is discussed in the Interim Order No.. IO/71/2017-SM[BR] dated 01/09/2017.

The matter is now listed for hearing before LARGER BENCH.

You are hereby directed to make available 01 sets of appeals/complete paper book and forwarded them to the Tribunal well before the date of hearing.

Take notice that the Larger Bench case mentioned above has been fixed for hearing on 04/12/2017 at 10.30 AM or any subsequent date thereafter as per the cause list or as may be convenient to the Tribunal before CESTAT, West Block No.2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi.

Encl.: Copy of the Interim Order No.. IO/71/2017-SM[BR] dated 01/09/2017.

Dated: 09/11/2017

Deputy/Asstt. Registrar (SINGLE MEMBER Appeal Branch)

Copy To:

1. Secretary, Bar Association, New Delhi,

- 2. Bar Associations MUMBAI/CHENNAI/KOLKATA/BANGLORE/AHAMDABAD/ALLAHABAD//CHANDIGARH/HYDRABAD.
- 3. S.D.R.
- 4. Advocate(s) / Consultant(s):

Bipin Garg B-1/1289, A - Vasant Kunj,NEW DELHI-110070

5. Notice Board/CESTAT, website

6. Office Copy

FAX: 011-26108426

REGISTERED / AD

CUSTOMS, EXCISE & SERVICE TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, WEST BLOCK NO.2, R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI-110066 SINGLE MEMBER APPEAL BRANCH

Dated: 27/09/2017

To

Appellant as per address in table below Respondent as per address in table below

Interim Order No. IO/71/2017-SM[BR] dated: 01/09/2017

I am directed to transmit herewith a certified copy of order passed by the Tribunal under section 35-C(1) of the Asstt. Registrar(SINGLE MEMBER Appeal Branch) Central Excise and Salt Act, 1944.

Appeal Application

Name and Address of Appellant

M/s. MANGLAM CEMENT LTD.,

ADITYA NAGAR, MORAK,

E/101/2011

DISTT. KOTA, RAJASTHAN

Name and Address of Respondent

C.C.E. JAIPUR I

N.C.R.Building, Statue Circle, "C"

Scheme, Jaipur 302005

Copy To 3Advocate(s) / Consultant(s):

MS. RINKI ARORA, ADV. C/o

Bipin Garg

B-1/1289, A - Vasant Kunj, NEW DELHI-110070

5 M/s Centax Publications Pvt. Ltd., 1512-B, Bhishm Pitamah Marg, Opp. ICICI Bank of Defence colony New

6 Company Law Institute of India Pvt. Ltd., No.2 (old no.36), Vaithyaram Street, T. Nagar, Chennai-17

7 Director Publications, Customs, Excise. I.P. Estate, Delhi

8 LAWCRUX Advisors Pvt. Ltd., LAW House, 1-8, Sector-10, Faridabad 121003 (Haryana) 9 MS Knowlegde Processing Pvt. Ltd.(Taxmanagementindia.com),FF-19, 1st Floor,Cross River Mall,CBD

Ground, Near Karkardooma Court, Delhi-110032 10 TaxIndiaOnline.com Pvt. Ltd., B-XI/8183, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi - 110070

11 Taxmann Allied Service Pvt. Ltd., 59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110005

12 The ICFAI society, 52, Nagarjuna Hill, Punjagutta Hyderabad. -500082

15 Guard File 14 Office Copy 13 C.D.R.

Asstt. Registrar(SINGLE MEMBER Appeal Branch)

IN THE CUSTOMS, EXCISE & SERVICE TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL West Block No. 2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi - 110 066.

Date of Hearing/Decision: 1.9.2017

Appeal No. E/101/2011-SM

(Arising out of Order-in-Appeal No. 463(DKV)/CE/JPR-I/210 dated 29.10.2010 passed by the Commissioner (Appeals), Central Excise, Jaipur)

M/s Manglam Cement Ltd.

Appellant

Vs.

CCE, Jaipur

Respondent

Appearance

Ms. Rinky Arora, Advocate

for the appellant

Shri G.R. Singh, A.R.

for the respondent

CORAM:

Hon'ble Mr. Ashok Jindal, Member (Judicial)

Interim Order No. $\neg 1/2017$

Per Ashok Jindal :

The appellant has filed this appeal against the impugned order wherein Cenvat credit on Cement and steel bar has been denied on the premise that same did not qualify as input as per Rule 2(k) and capital goods as per Rule 2(a) of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004 as these items have been used in the structural foundation to support the power plant which itself is embedded to earth.

2. The ld. Counsel for the appellant submits that this Tribunal in the case of M/s Prakash Industries Ltd. vide Final Order No. 56266/2017 dated 23.8.2017 has held that in the light of the

decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the case of *Dalmia Cements (Bharat) Ltd. Vs. CESTAT Chennai* - 2016 (341) ELT 102 (Madras) has held that any item used in the factory for installation and running of the factory are directly or indirectly used in manufacture of excisable goods. Therefore, the assessee is entitled to avail Cenvat credit thereon. In that circumstances, Cenvat credit is to be allowed.

- 3. On the other hand, ld. AR submits that in appellant's own case for the earlier period vide Final Order No. A/50309/2017-CU(SM) dated 12.1.2017, this Tribunal has disallowed the Cenvat credit to the appellant on the same items, relying on the decision in the case of *Vandana Global Ltd. Vs. CCE, Raipur* 2010 (253) ELT 440 (Tri.-LB) and also relying on the Final Order of this Tribunal dated 27.12.2016.
 - 4. Heard the parties.
 - 5. Considering the fact that there are contrary view of this Tribunal on the issue whereas in the appellant's own case for the earlier period, this Tribunal relied on the decision in the case of *Vandana Global Ltd.* (supra) and held that the appellant is not entitled to avail Cenvat credit. I find that in the said case, the

appellant argued that in the light of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat in the case of *Mundra Ports & Special Economic Zone Ltd. Vs. CCE & Cus.* - 2015 (39) STR 70 (Guj.), wherein it has been held that no retrospective effect can be given to Explanation 2 to Rule (k) of Cenvat Credit Rules, but the said decision has not been considered by this Tribunal while deciding the issue on 12.1.2007 and disallowed the Cenvat credit. Further, I find that this Tribunal in the said case had not considered the decision of *Dalmia Cements* (Bharat) Ltd. (supra) which was decided on 28.8.2015.

- 6. Further, I find that this Tribunal relying on the decision of in the case of *M/s Prakash Industries Ltd.* (supra) allowed Cenvat credit on these items, relying on the decision of *Dalmia Cements* (supra).
- 7. In that circumstances, it would be appropriate when there is a divergent views of this Tribunal to refer the matter to the Larger Bench of this Tribunal.
- 8. Therefore, the file be placed before Hon'ble President to constitute a Larger Bench for a reference on the following issue:

"Whether on cement and steel items used for foundation for installation of machinery which is embedded to earth, the assessee is entitled to avail Cenvat credit on steel items in terms of Rule 2(k)/2(a) of the Cenvat Credit Rules 2004, or not."

(Dictated & pronounced in open Court)

(Ashok Jindal) Member (Judicial)

RM

And the Control of State of St