

CUSTOMS, EXCISE & SERVICE TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
 38, MG Marg Civil Lines, Old Red Building, Allahabad-211001
 Regional Branch, Allahabad

Dated: 12/01/2017

To

Appellant as per address in table below

Respondent as per address in table below

Final Order No. A/70048-70049/2017-EX[DB] dated 09/01/2017

I am directed to transmit herewith a certified copy of order passed by the Tribunal under section 35-C(1) of the Central Excise and Salt Act, 1944.


 Asstt. Registrar

Application	Appeal	Name and Address of Appellant
¹ E/ E/CROSS/157/2006	E/2266/2006	Commissioner of CUSTOMS-Kanpur 117/7...SARVODYA NAGAR, KANPUR, UTTAR PRADESH 208005
²	E/2267/2006	Commissioner of CUSTOMS- Kanpur 117/7...SARVODYA NAGAR, KANPUR, UTTAR PRADESH 208005
		Name and Address of Respondent
³		Shri Ashish Kapoor, Director M/s R.r. Perfumers Pvt. Ltd. Makrand Nagar, Kannauj, (U.P.)
⁴		M/s R. R. Perfumers Pvt. Ltd., Makrand Nager, Kannauj, (U.P.)

Other Appellants and Respondents as per Annexure
Copy To
5 Advocate(s) / Consultant(s):

Amit Awasthi
H-1, 1ST FLOOR, RADHEY
APPARTMENT,
PLOT NO. 7/116A,
SWAROOP NAGAR,
KANPUR,
UTTAR PRADESH
208002

- 6 Bar Association, CESTAT, Allahabad
- 7 Director Publications, Customs, Excise. I.P. Estate, Delhi
- 8 M/s Centax Publications Pvt. Ltd., 1512-B, Bhishm Pitamah Marg, New Delhi-3
- 9 Company Law Institute of India Pvt. Ltd., No.2 (old no.36), Vaithyaram Street, T. Nagar, Chennai-17
- 10 Taxmann Allied Service Pvt. Ltd., 59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110005
- 11 LAWCRUX Advisors Pvt. Ltd., LAW House, 1-8, Sector-10, Faridabad 121003 (Haryana)
- 12 TaxIndiaOnline.com Pvt. Ltd., 2nd Floor, Vasant Arcade, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi - 110070
- 13 Mark Professional Services Pvt. Ltd., 108, Everest Block, Aditya Enclave, Hyderabad - 38
- 14 The ICAI society, 52, Nagarjuna Hill, Punjagutta Hyderabad.-500082
- 15 M/S Knowledge Processing Pvt. Ltd.(taxmanagementindia.com), FF-19, 1st Floor, Cross River Mall, CBD Ground, Near Karkardooma Court, Delhi-110032
- 16 C.D.R. 17 Office Copy 18 Guard File


Asstt. Registrar

IN THE CUSTOMS, EXCISE & SERVICE TAX APPELLATE
TRIBUNAL
REGIONAL BENCH: ALLAHABAD
COURT No. 1

APPEAL No. E/2266 & 2267/2006-EX[DB] With
CROSS Application No. E/CROSS/157/2006

(Arising out of Order-in-Appeal No. 38-39-CE/APPL/KNP/2006 dated
19/01/2006 passed by Commissioner of Central Excise & Customs
(Appeals), Kanpur)

Commissioner of Central Excise & Service Tax, Kanpur

Appellant

Vs.

M/s R. R. Perfumers Pvt. Ltd., Shri Ashish Kapoor, Director

Respondent

Appearance:

Shri Rajeev Ranjan, Joint Commissioner (AR).

Shri A.K. Dixit, Advocate.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Mr. Anil Choudhary, Member (Judicial)

Hon'ble Mr. Anil G. Shakkarwar, Member (Technical)

Date of Hearing & Date of Decision

08/05/2016



FINAL ORDER NO. 70048-70049/2017

Per: Anil G. Shakkarwar

The present appeal is filed by Revenue challenging
Order-in-Appeal No. 38-39-CE/APPL/KNP/2006 dated
19/01/2006, through which Id. Commissioner (Appeals),
allowed payment of interest on delayed payment of refund.

2. The brief facts of the case are that that there was a
seizure (Gandha Oil valued at Rs.22,75,000/-) at the Foreign
Post Office in respect of consignment booked by
respondent-assessee for export. During the proceedings
respondent-assessee made a pre-deposit of Rs.10,00,000/-

towards Redemption Fine, which was paid through TR-6 Challan dated 16/04/1999. The matter was initially adjudicated and respondent-assessee preferred appeal before this Tribunal. This Tribunal through its Final Order No. A/660-662/1999-NB(DB) & 3/314/15/99-NB(DB) dated 09/08/1999, remanded the matter back for De-novo Adjudication. In the De-novo Adjudication Original Authority passed Order-in-Original No. 24/Commr./Adj/Seiz/1999 on 03/11/1999. It was passed by the Commissioner, Central Excise, Kanpur. As per said order dated 03/11/1999, Redemption Fine of Rs.10,00,000/- was appropriated. The respondent-assessee again approached this Tribunal which decided the matter through Final Order No. A/812-14/2000(NB)DB dated 11/09/2000, and remanded the matter again for De-novo Adjudication. Second time De-novo Adjudication resulting in passing of Order-in-Original No. 02/Commr./Cust/Adj/2003 dated 10/07/2003, wherein the proceedings were dropped by Commissioner. The respondent-assessee requested for refund of Rs.10,00,000/-, appropriated as Redemption Fine. Through Order-in-Original No. 52/Refund/DC/K-III/2003 dated 23/12/2003 passed by Deputy Commissioner (Appeals), Central Excise, Division-III, refund of Rs.10,00,000/-, which was appropriated as Redemption Fine was allowed. The respondent-assessee preferred an appeal before Commissioner (Appeals) for seeking interest on refund. The said appeal was decided

6

through Order-in-Appeal No. 38-39-CE/APPL/KNP/2006 dated 19/01/2006, wherein Id. Commissioner (Appeals) allowed payment of interest on Redemption Fine of Rs.10,00,000/-. Aggrieved by the said Order-in-Appeal, Revenue is before this Tribunal.

3. The ground of appeal preferred by Revenue is that the Redemption Fine cannot be treated as duty and therefore Section 27A of Customs Act, 1962, is not applicable to said refund.

4. Heard Id. D.R. who has supported the ground of appeal.

5. Heard Id. A.K. Dixit, for the respondent who pointed out that Cross Objection was also filed through Cross Objection No. E/CROSS/157/2006. The contention in the Cross Objection is that the Id. Commissioner (Appeals) has rightly allowed interest on delayed refund of Redemption Fine by relying on the judgment of the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court in the case of Hello Mineral Waters Pvt. Ltd. Versus Union of India reported at 2004 (174) E.L.T. 422.

6. Having considered the rival contentions & perusal of record and Section 27 Customs Act, 1962, we find that there is only one provision for refund of duty which is incorporated in Section 27 of the said Act. It is fact on the record that the Id. Assistant Commissioner has allowed the refund of Rs.10,00,000/-, which was appropriated as Redemption Fine through his order dated 23/12/2003. We understand that such order was issued under the powers vested under Id.

Deputy Commissioner under Section 27 of Customs Act, 1962. That being so the argument of the Revenue that provisions of Section 27A of Customs Act, 1962 are not applicable for delayed refund of Redemption Fine is contradictory to the order already passed by the Id. Deputy Commissioner, which was not challenged by Revenue. In view of the said case, we do not find any merit in the grounds of appeal filed by Revenue. Therefore, both the appeals filed by the Revenue are dismissed. Cross Objection also stand disposed.

(Dictated and pronounced in Court)

-Sd/-

(ANIL G. SHAKKARWAR)
Member (TECHNICAL)

-Sd/-

(ANIL CHOUDHARY)
Member(JUDICIAL)

Ansari

प्रमाणित प्रति / Certified True Copy

20.1.17
सहायक पंजीकार / Asstt. Registrar
सीमाशुल्क, उत्पादशुल्क एवं सेवा कर
अपील अधिकरण / (C.E.S.T.A.T.)
38, एम. जी. मार्ग, इलाहाबाद-211001
38, M. G. MARG, ALLAHABAD-211001