

**CUSTOMS, EXCISE & SERVICE TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
SOUTH ZONAL BENCH
CHENNAI**

S.No.	Appeal No.	Appellant	Respondent
1.	E/40570/2014	CGST & CE Chennai South	Sri Saastha Energy Pvt. Ltd.
Arising out of Order-in-Appeal No.03/2014 (M-III) (D)dt.18.02.2014 passed by the Commissioner of Central Excise (Appeals), Chennai			
2.	E/40571/2014	CGST & CE Chennai South	Saastha Energy Pvt. Ltd.
Arising out of Order-in-Appeal No.06/2014 (M-III) dt.02.01.2014 passed by the Commissioner of Central Excise (Appeals), Chennai			
3.	E/42015/2014	CGST & CE Chennai Outer	Jonas Woodhead and Sons India Ltd.
Arising out of Order-in-Appeal No.07/2014 (M-IV) (D)dt.01.05.2014 passed by the Commissioner of Central Excise (Appeals), Chennai			
4.	ST/42318/2017 ST/CO/40210/2018	CGST & CE Coimbatore	P. Arputharaj
Arising out of Order-in-Appeal No.149/2017 dt.04.07.2017 passed by the Commissioner of GCST &Central Excise (Appeals), Coimbatore			
5.	E/40229/2018	Principal Commissioner of GST & CE Coimbatore	Heera Electronics
6.	E/40230/2018	Principal Commissioner of GST & CE Coimbatore	Heera Electronics
Arising out of Order-in-Appeal No.299/2017 dt.02.11.2017 passed by the Commissioner of GCST &Central Excise (Appeals), Coimbatore			
7.	ST/329/2012	CGST & CE Chennai North	ETA Constructions (India) Ltd.
Arising out of Order-in-Appeal No.42/2012(MST) dt. 12.03.2012 passed by the Commissioner of Central Excise (Appeals), Chennai			
8.	E/42255/2014	CGST & CE Chennai North	Tide water Oil Co. (India) LTd.
Arising out of Order-in-Appeal No.67/2014(M-I) dt.3.7..2014 passed by the Commissioner of Central Excise (Appeals), Chennai			

Appearance :

Shri A. Cletus, ADC (AR)
For the Appellant

Ms. K. Nancy, Advocate (Sl.No.1,2)
Shri R. Ravikumar, Advocate (Sl.No.3)
Shri J. Shankaraman, Advocate (Sl.No.4)
None (Sl.No.5-8)
For the Respondent

CORAM :

Hon'ble Ms.SulekhaBeevi C.S., Member (Judicial)
Hon'ble Shri Madhu Mohan Damodhar, Member (Technical)

Date of hearing / decision :29.10.2018

FINAL ORDER No. 42718-42725 / 2018

Per Bench

At the outset, Ld. Counsels appearing for the assessee submits that the disputed amounts in all these Revenue's appeal are below the threshold limit prescribed as per the current litigation policy and prays that the Revenue's appeals themselves may be taken up for disposal on those grounds.

3. On going through the records, we find that the disputed tax liability in all these Revenue Appeals is below the prescribed limit as per the current litigation policy vide Board's instruction issued in F.No.390/Misc./1116/2017-JC dt. 11-07-2018. Although there are no applications from the department for withdrawal of these cases, the appeals are dismissed only on monetary grounds without going into the merits of the case. The CO filed by respondent in Revenue appeal ST/42318/2017 also stands disposed.

(dictated and pronounced in court)

(Madhu Mohan Damodhar)
Member (Technical)

(Sulekha Beevi, C.S.)
Member (Judicial)

gs

