

**CUSTOMS, EXCISE & SERVICE TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
SOUTH ZONAL BENCH
CHENNAI**

S.No.	Appeal No.	Appellant	Respondent
1.	ST/40070/2013	Voora Shreeram Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Commissioner of Central Excise & ST Chennai
Arising out of Order-in-Original No.30/2012 dt. 16.10.2012 passed by Commissioner of Service Tax, Chennai			

Appearance :

Shri R. Ravikumar, Advocate
For the Appellant

Shri A. Cletus, ADC (AR)
For the Respondent

CORAM :

Hon'ble Ms. Sulekha Beevi, C.S., Member (Judicial)
Hon'ble Shri Madhu Mohan Damodhar, Member (Technical)

Date of hearing / decision : 24.01.2019

FINAL ORDER No. 40174 / 2019

Per Bench

M/s. Voora Shreeram Construction Pvt. Ltd., the appellants herein are registered with the department for providing services under the category of "Commercial or Industrial Construction Service" and "Construction of Complex Service. On scrutiny of records, it emerged that appellant had not discharged their service tax liability for the period from 4/2007 and have not added the monetary value of free materials supplied by their client in the gross amount for the payment of service tax. Therefore, a show cause notice dt. 07.04.2010 was issued to them inter alia, proposing demand of service tax of Rs.1,56,74,870/- with interest for the period 01.04.2005 to 31.08.2009, as also imposition of penalties under various provisions of law. In adjudication, after due process of

law, the adjudicating authority vide impugned order dt. 16.10.2012 confirmed the service tax demand as proposed in the SCN with interest thereon and imposed equal penalty under Section 78 of the Finance Act, 1994. He also imposed penalty of Rs.5000/- under Section 77 *ibid*. Hence this present appeal.

2. Today when the matter came up for hearing, on behalf of the appellant, Ld. Advocate Shri R. Ravikumar, Advocate submits that issue as to whether a composite contract involving provision of service as well as transfer of property in goods could be covered under CICS and RCS from the date of introduction of service tax levy on such services stands finally settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *CCE & Cus., Kerala Vs L&T Ltd.* [2015 (39) STR 913 (SC)]. Ld. Advocate submits that the very same issue is also squarely covered by the recent decision of this Bench vide Final Order No.42436-42438/2018 dt. 18.09.2018 [2018-TIOL-2867-CESTAT-MAD].

3. Heard Ld. A.R Shri A. Cletus who supports the impugned order.

4. After hearing both sides, and on perusal of records, we find merit in the Ld. Advocate is correct in his assertion that the issue in dispute is squarely covered by the case laws cited by him, in particular, that of the Hon'ble Apex Court in *M/s.Larsen & Toubro Ltd.* (*supra*) for the period upto 01.06.2007.

5. For the period after 01.06.2007, the Chennai Bench of the CESTAT in the case of *M/s.Real Value Promoters Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. Vs Commissioner of G.S.T & Central Excise, Chennai & Ors.* vide Final Order Nos.42436-42438/2018 dated

18.09.2018 have extrapolated the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in *M/s.Larsen & Toubro Ltd.* (supra) and held that even after 01.06.2007, service tax liability for composite contracts can only be demanded under Works Contract Service and not under CICS etc. For this reason, the impugned order demanding the amount of tax liability under CICS for a composite contract will not survive and will require to be set aside, which we hereby do.

6. The appeal is therefore allowed with consequential benefits, if any, as per law.

(operative part of the order pronounced in court)

(Madhu Mohan Damodhar)
Member (Technical)

(Sulekha Beevi, C.S)
Member (Judicial)

gs

