

IN THE CUSTOMS, EXCISE & SERVICE TAX  
APPELLATE TRIBUNAL  
SOUTH ZONAL BENCH AT CHENNAI

**ST/254/2007**

(Arising out of Order-in-Original No. 9/2007 (ST) dated 03.08.2007 passed by the Commissioner of Central Excise Trichy).

M/s. N S K Builders

: Appellant

Vs.

CCE & ST, Trichy

: Respondent

Appearance

Shri S. Viswanathan, Adv.,  
For the appellant

Shri L. Paneerselvam, AC (AR),  
For the respondent

CORAM

**Hon'ble Shri D.N.PANDA, Judicial Member**

**Hon'ble Shri MADHU MOHAN DAMODHAR, Technical Member**

Date of Hearing/Decision: 01.02.2017

FINAL ORDER No. 40185 /2017

**Per: D.N.Panda,**

There is no dispute on record that the service tax was collected by the appellant and discharged. Appellant prays for immunity from interest and penalty. There is no provision <sup>in</sup> law to entertain <sup>the</sup> prayer for immunity from interest, which is outcome of



tax demand not discharged. Therefore, interest is payable for the period of default.

2. In so far as the penalty is concerned, it is an agreed proposition by both sides that levy of service tax on "Works contract" was in debatable stage till ~~it~~<sup>that</sup> reached to ~~the~~<sup>the</sup> Apex Court for conclusion in the case of *COMMISSIONER OF C. EX. & CUS., KERALA Vs. LARSEN & TOUBRO LTD.*- 2015 (39) STR 913 (S.C.). Considering this aspect, there shall be no penalty payable under Section 76 & 78 of the Finance Act, 1994. So far as penalty under Section 77 of the Act is concerned that is sustained.

3. In the result, appeal is allowed partly only to the extent indicated above.

(Dictated and pronounced in open Court)

  
(MADHU MOHAN DAMODHAR)  
TECHNICAL MEMBER

  
(D.N. PANDA)  
JUDICIAL MEMBER

BB



प्रमाणित प्रति / Certified True Copy

  
21/2  
सहायक पंजीकार / Asst. Registrar  
सीमा शुल्क उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवा कर  
अपील अधिकारम / (CESTAT)  
चेन्नई / Chennai