

**CUSTOMS EXCISE & SERVICE TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI
PRINCIPAL BENCH, COURT NO. 3**

SERVICE TAX APPEAL NO. 52972 OF 2016

[Arising out of Order-in-Appeal No. 257(AK) ST/JPR/2016 dated 03 August, 2016
passed by the Commissioner of Customs & Central Excise, (Appeals), Jaipur]

M/S BOMBAY STORES

Toliasar Bhairun Ji ki Gali,
Bikaner, Rajasthan

Appellant

Vs.

**COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE,
COMMISSIONERATE, JAIPUR**

Commissioner of Customs & Central Excise (Appeals)
New Central Revenue Building, Statute Circle,
C-Scheme, Jaipur-302005

Respondent

Appearance:

Present for the Appellant : Shri Tarun Gulati, Senior Advocate
and Shri Ankit Sachdeva, Advocate

Present for the Respondent: Shri Rajeev Kapoor and Shri Rohit Issar,
Authorized Representatives

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. BINU TAMTA, MEMBER (JUDICIAL)
HON'BLE MR. P V SUBBA RAO, MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

FINAL ORDER NO. 51001 /2023

Date of Hearing/Decision : 03/08/2023

BINU TAMTA:

Learned counsel for the appellant has placed a judgment of this Tribunal in the case of **Balaji Enterprises vs. Commissioner of Central Excise and Service Tax, Jaipur I¹**, where the issue involved was whether the subsidy received by the appellant from Tata Tele Services on the sale of mobile handsets purchased by the appellant from independent vendors can be said to be an amount received for providing "business auxiliary services" to Tata Tele Services and, therefore, chargeable to service tax. It is the

submission of the learned counsel for the appellant that the issue involved in the present appeal is identical and since the same has been decided in favour of the appellant/assessee, the appeal needs to be allowed.

2. Learned authorized representative appearing for the Revenue also agrees that the issue involved in this appeal is identical and is covered by **Balaji Enterprises**. We, accordingly, set aside the impugned order and allow this appeal.

(Dictated and pronounced in open court)

(BINU TAMTA)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

(P V SUBBA RAO)
MEMBER (TECHNICAL)