

**CUSTOMS, EXCISE & SERVICE TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL**  
**PRINCIPAL BENCH, WEST BLOCK No.2, R.K.PURAM, NEW DELHI - 110066**  
**CUSTOMS APPEAL BRANCH**

Appeal No. ST/491 /2009 - CU[DB]

Date 07/12/2009

Assistant Registrar  
C.E.S.T.A.T, New Delhi

To :  
M/S SAI REFRICHEM PVT. LTD.  
DANIYAPUR, SHANKARPUR BEHIND ITDL,  
BAREILLY ROAD, RAMPUR (U.P.)  
M/S SAI REFRICHEM PVT. LTD.

Appellant  
Vs  
Respondent

C.C.E. MEERUT II

I am directed to transmit herewith a certified copy of **Final order No. ST/271/ 2009 CU[DB]** dated 16.11.09  
passed by the Tribunal under Section 129, (B) of the Customs Act, 1962 & Financial Act 1994 relating to Service Tax

  
Assistant Registrar  
(Customs Appeal Branch)

**Copy to :**

1. Respondent

C.C.E. MEERUT II

OPP. SAHEED PARK (NEAR ASHOK KI LAT) DELHI ROAD, MEERUT (UP)

2. Adv. / Consult

MR.LASA CONSULTANCY SERVICES.

7, ROSHAN BAGH, CIVIL LINCES, RAMPUR (UP)

3 S.D.R

4 J.C.D.R.

5 Bar association, CESTAT, New Delhi

6 Director Publications, Customs, Excise. I.P. Estate, New Delhi

7 M/s Centax Publications Pvt Ltd., 1512-B, Bhishm Pitamah marg, Opp. ICICI Bank of Defence Colony, New Delhi - 3

8 Commercial Laws of India Pvt Ltd. Post Bag No. 1033, No.2(Old No. 36), Vaithyaram Street, T. Nagar, Chennai -17

9 Raghuraman's 44-B, Regal Flat, Shipra Suncity, Indirapuram - 201010, Ghaziabad, DT, U.P.

10 M/s Manupatra Information Solutions Pvt Ltd. Excise & Customs Cases B-37, Sector - 1, Noida - 201301, Gautam Budh Nagar, (UP)

11 M/s. Deeparchie Publications, M-93, Marg. 46, Saket, New Delhi 110017

12 Taxindiaonline.com Pvt.Ltd, B-XI/8183, Vasant Kuni, New Delhi - 110070

13 Taxmann Allied Service Pvt Ltd., 21/35, West Puniabi Bagh, New Delhi - 110026

14 Easy Service Tax Online Dot Com Pvt.Ltd, 407A, Iscon Mall, Above Star India Bazar, Satellite Road, Ahmedabad-15

15 LAWCRUX Advisors Pvt Ltd, LAW House, 1-8, Sector - 10, Faridabad 121003 (Harvana)

16 Office Copy

17 Guard file

  
Assistant Registrar  
(Customs Appeal Branch)

CUSTOMS, EXCISE & SERVICE TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL,  
WEST BLOCK NO.II, R.K. PURAM, NEW DELHI-110066.  
BENCH-DB

COURT - I  
**Service Tax Appeal No. 491/2009**

[Arising out of Order in Appeal No.139-ST-CE/MRT-II/2009 dated 20.03.2009 passed by the Commissioner (Appeals), Meerut-II].

**Date of Hearing/Decision:16.11.2009**

For approval and signature:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice R.M.S. Khandeparkar, President  
Hon'ble Mr.Rakesh Kumar, Member (Technical)

|   |                                                                                                                                       |  |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Whether Press Reporters may be allowed to see the Order for publication as per Rule 27 of the CESTAT (Procedure) Rules, 1982?         |  |
| 2 | Whether it should be released under Rule 27 of the CESTAT (Procedure) Rules, 1982 for publication in any authoritative report or not? |  |
| 3 | Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Order?                                                                       |  |
| 4 | Whether Order is to be circulated to the Departmental authorities?                                                                    |  |

M/s. Sai Refrichem

...Appellant

Vs.

CCE, Meerut-II

... Respondent

Present for the Appellant : None  
Present for the Respondent : Shri S. Shah, DR

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice R.M.S. Khandeparkar, President**  
**Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Member (Technical)**

*Final* **ORAL ORDER NO. 57/271/09 DATED:16.11.2009**

**PER: JUSTICE R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR**

None present for the applicant. Shri S.Shah, Departmental Representative present for the respondent. On the last date of hearing also nobody appeared for the applicant, though the applicants were duly served <sup>with</sup> the notice in the matter. The records do not disclose compliance of order regarding pre-deposit. Already on the last date of hearing, it was observed that on account of failure on the part of the appellant to comply with the order

regarding the pre-deposit, the appeal would liable to be dismissed unless the applicants are able to satisfy the contrary. Nobody has appeared for the applicant nor anything placed on record so as to satisfy the Tribunal against dismissal of the appeal. Consequently, on account of non-compliance of requirement of pre-deposit, the appeal is dismissed.

**(JUSTICE R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR)**  
**PRESIDENT**

**(RAKESH KUMAR)**  
**MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

Anita